

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/761,711	01/18/2001	Takashi Haga	107101-00028	4744
75	90 02/11/2004		EXAM	INER
NIKAIDO, MARMELSTEIN, MURRAY & ORAM LLP			TRAN, LEN	
Metropolitian Sqaure Suite 330 - G Street Lobby			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.			1725	
Washington, D	C 20005-5701			

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application		<u></u>	
## Art Unit 1725 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time range to available under the previous at 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, lowever, may a reply be timely filled. If the period for reply specified above, the macentum statistury period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the range of this communication of the period of the communication of the communication. ### Responsive to communication(s) filled on 18 January 2001. ### Responsive to communication(s) filled on 18 January 2001. #### Responsive to communication(s) filled on 18 January 2001. ##################################		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Len Tran 1725		09/761,711	HAGA ET AL.
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of term may be available used the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no worst, however, may a reply the timely filed into SEX 03 MONTH from the maling date of this communication. Extension of term may be available used the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no worst, however, may a reply the timely filed into SEX 03 MONTH from the maling date of this communication. If NO period cray is a specified store, the maniful gate of the communication of the provision	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of line may be available sends the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filed - Expensions of line may be available sends the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filed - Expensions of line may be available sends the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filed - If NO period for rely is pecified above, the maximum statistory provided all pupils used will expens 31X (s) (ACT) 15 from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the communication of the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply with limits of the calmed period for line of the communication of the communication of the communication of the mailing date of the communication. - Failure to reply with limits of the communication of the mailing date of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of communication of the mailing date of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of communication of the mailing date of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of communication of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of the provision of the provision of the mailure of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of the communication of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply with the sent of the communication of the communication. - Failure to repl			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extransions of time may be waited under the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Fallus to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by attalic, cause the application to become ARANDONEO (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Official test than the room with attaliatory period will apply and will expend SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallus to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by attalic, cause the application to become ARANDONEO (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Official test than there omnotive after the mailing date of this communication, even if smoly field, may reduce any evaluation in FINAL. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 18 January 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is Formation for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Isiam(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 3-1 is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f). 13) As a consideration of the foreign and priority documents have been received in this National Sta		pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2001. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to subjected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application Tomation application since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 3) The translation of the forei			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Size claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to prestriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.			
Disposition of Claims 4)	,		
4)			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * ○ □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17, Z(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 11) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disposition of Claims		
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 		,
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplication and accomplication accomplication accomplication accomplication and accomplication accomplication accomplication accomplication accomplication and accomplication accomplica	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
a)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language process.	ts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and the specification of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and the specification of the specification	tion No ved in this National Stage ved. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-6, and 9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 –12 of copending Application No. 10/235,887. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US application number '887 disclose a recirculation pipe for introducing the gas with the branch flange. It is obvious that the pipe is made of metal, since it has been known in the art and in addition the pipe is connected in thermal contact with the exhaust pipe.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 3-6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akazaki et al (US 5,946,906).

Akazaki et al disclose a system for purifying exhaust gas comprising a bypass exhaust gas passage, switch-over valve, branched from an exhaust pipe, an adsorbent installed in the bypass exhaust gas passage, and a recirculation pipe to recirculate unburned components. The recirculation pipe is inherently made of metal and is connected to the bypass exhaust gas passage close to the exhaust pipe. The recirculation pipe is connected to an air intake system of the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

engine at one end and is connected to the chamber, at the other end. The recirculation pipe is connected to the body of the engine by a metal support (abstract and figure 1, col. 4, lines 58-65, col. 16, lines 7-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akazaki et al (US '906) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Voss et al (US 6,256,984).

Akazaki et al disclose the claimed invention above in paragraph 3, but fail to teach a coating or film ion the inner wall of the pipe.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

However, Voss et al disclose a coating on the inner wall of an exhaust pipe (abstract) for the purpose of thermal insulating the pipe.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a coating or film as taught by Voss et al, in Akazaki et al in order to insulate the pipe.

7. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akazaki et al (US '906) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Usui (US 5,676,176).

Akazaki et al disclose a system for purifying exhaust gas comprising a bypass exhaust gas passage, switch-over valve, branched from an exhaust pipe, an adsorbent installed in the bypass exhaust gas passage, and a recirculation pipe to recirculate unburned components. The recirculation pipe is connected to the bypass exhaust gas passage close to the exhaust pipe. The recirculation pipe is connected to an air intake system of the engine at one end and is connected to the chamber, at the other end. The recirculation pipe is connected to the body of the engine by a metal support (abstract and figure 1, col. 4, lines 58-65, col. 16, lines 7-10).

Akazaki et al lacks the disclosure of the pipe being made of metal and having a corrugated portion.

However, Usui discloses a corrugated EGR pipes, made of metal, used in automobiles for the purpose of withstand vibrations (col. 1, lines 5-11).

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a corrugated pipe as taught by Usui, in Akazaki et al in order to withstand vibration due to its flexibility.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran

Examiner

Kiley Stoner Au 1725

My Stoner Au 1725

My Stoner Au 1725

LT

February 4, 2004